## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OAKLAND DIVISION

	United	States of America,	)	Case No. 4:25-MJ-70874-MAG
		Plaintiff, v.	) )	STIPULATED ORDER EXCLUDING TIME UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT
Jami	e N	Defendant(s).	)	
			) <b></b>     -	10-
For the reasons stated by the parties on the record on 11125, the court excludes time under the Speedy Trial Act from 11125 to 122125 and finds that the ends of justice served by the continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A). The court makes this finding and bases this continuance on the following factor(s):				
		Failure to grant a continuance would See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i).	be like	ly to result in a miscarriage of justice.
		defendants, the nature of the pr	rosecut	ion, or the existence of novel questions of fact quate preparation for pretrial proceedings or the trial
		itself within the time limits establishe	ed by th	his section. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii).
		Failure to grant a continuance would taking into account the exercise of du	deny tl ie dilig	ne defendant reasonable time to obtain counsel, ence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).
		Failure to grant a continuance would counsel's other scheduled case common See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).	unreas iitment	onably deny the defendant continuity of counsel, given s, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
	X	Failure to grant a continuance would necessary for effective preparation, to See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).	unreas aking ii	onably deny the defendant the reasonable time nto account the exercise of due diligence.
	1			*
		disposition of criminal cases, the couparagraph and — based on the parties the time limits for a preliminary hear.	rt sets is show ing und an ind	ing into account the public interest in the prompt the preliminary hearing to the date set forth in the first wing of good cause — finds good cause for extending the rederal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1 and for interest under the Speedy Trial Act (based on the m. P. 5.1; 18 U.S.C. § 3161(b).
IT IS SO ORDERED.				
DATED: 7/17/2025 Landk Myhnife KANDISA, WESTMORE				
		*		United States Magistrate Judge
	STIPU	JLATED: Attorney for Defendant		Assistant United States Attorney